

## Children and young people

Best value ref no.	Indicator	Lewisham performance			Comparative performance in other areas					Lewisham targets				Performance against target
		Actual 2003/04	Actual 2004/05	Actual 2005/06	Best England quartile 04/05	Best London quartile 04/05	Greenwich 04/05	Southwark 04/05	Bromley 04/05	Target 2005/06	Target 2006/07	Target 2007/08	Target 2008/09	
<b>The Council's schools</b>														
BV048	percentage of schools maintained by the authority subject to special measures on 14th December each year	2%	1%	1%	0%	0%	2.20%	5.94%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	off target
local	number of schools maintained by the authority causing concern using triggers and threshold measures	10	11	9						11	8	7	0	well exceeds target
local	percentage of schools rated good or very good	64.7%	64.7%	65%						67%	65%	70%	75%	off target
local	average percentage quality level achieved by schools and civic restaurants	78%	78%	78%						80%	80%	82% (80%)	85%	on target
<b>LEA schools survey</b>														
local	LEA capacity to develop and implement strategy (where 1 is high scoring and 5 the lowest score)	2.38	2.16	2.26						2.1	2.1	2.0	1.9	off target
local	LEA capacity to support school improvement (where 1 is high scoring and 5 the lowest score)	2.41	2.27	2.33						2.1	2.29 (2.1)	2.25 (2)	2.2	way off target
local	LEA capacity to support special educational needs (where 1 is high scoring and 5 the lowest score)	3.49	3.29	3.33						2.7	2.5	2.4	2.3	way off target
local	LEA commitment to promoting access to education, and social inclusion (where 1 is high scoring and 5 the lowest score)	2.69	2.56	2.63						2.4	2.3	2.2	2.1	off target

## Children and young people

Best value ref no.	Indicator	Lewisham performance			Comparative performance in other areas					Lewisham targets				Performance against target				
		Actual 2003/04	Actual 2004/05	Actual 2005/06	Best England quartile 04/05	Best London quartile 04/05	Greenwich 04/05	Southwark 04/05	Bromley 04/05	Target 2005/06	Target 2006/07	Target 2007/08	Target 2008/09					
<b>Nursery and primary education</b>																		
BV222a	percentage of leaders of integrated early education and childcare settings funded or part funded by the local authority with a qualification at Level 4 or above		new for 2005/06	7%										baseline year targets not required	9%	11%	17%	
BV222b	percentage of leaders of integrated early education and childcare settings funded or part funded by the local authority which have input from staff with graduate or post graduate training in teaching or child development		new for 2005/06	11%										baseline year targets not required	15%	19%	25%	
<b>Educational achievement</b>																		
BV040	percentage achieving level 4 or above in the key stage 2 mathematics test	69.1%	69.5%	72%	77%	77.4%	68%	64%	78%	79%	79%	78%	79%	off target				
BV041	percentage achieving level 4 or above in the key stage 2 English test	73.3%	72.9%	77%	80%	80%	70%	69%	83%	79%	79%	80%	81%	off target				
local	percentage of Black Caribbean boys achieving level 4 or above in the key stage 2 English test	60.2%	64%	64.2%						64.2%	65%	67%	69%	on target				
BV194a	percentage of pupils achieving level 5 or above in the key stage 2 English test	27.2%	24.5%	26%	28%	28%	20%	19%	32%	29%	28%	30%	31%	way off target				
BV194b	percentage of pupils achieving level 5 or above in the key stage 2 mathematics test	26%	26.8%	26%	33%	33%	24%	22%	38%	29%	29%	30%	31%	way off target				
BV181a	percentage of children achieving level 5 or above in English test at end of key stage 3	60%	60.9%	66%	75%	76.25%	63%	63%	80%	65%	69%	74% (73%)	76%	on target				

## Children and young people

Best value ref no.	Indicator	Lewisham performance			Comparative performance in other areas					Lewisham targets				Performance against target
		Actual 2003/04	Actual 2004/05	Actual 2005/06	Best England quartile 04/05	Best London quartile 04/05	Greenwich 04/05	Southwark 04/05	Bromley 04/05	Target 2005/06	Target 2006/07	Target 2007/08	Target 2008/09	
local	percentage of Black Caribbean boys achieving level 5 or above in the key stage 3 English test	48.6%	44.80%	63.8%						64%	68%	72% (71%)	76%	on target
BV181b	percentage of children achieving level 5 or above in mathematics test at end of key stage 3	59.5%	64%	66%	76.10%	76.25%	60%	58%	77%	65%	68%	71%	73%	on target
local	percentage of Black Caribbean boys achieving level 4 or above in the key stage 2 mathematics test	64.8%	63.60%	62.90%						72%	65% (73%)	67% (75%)	69%	way off target
BV181c	percentage of children achieving level five or above in science test at end of key stage 3	56.4%	54%	60%	70%	68.25%	49%	49%	72%	62%	67%	69%	71%	on target
BV181d	percentage of children achieving level five or above in ICT test at end of key stage 3	46%	59%	59%	72%	68.30%	44%	52%	58%	62%	67% (66%)	69%	71%	on target
BV39	percentage 15 year old pupils in schools maintained by the authority achieving 5 or more GCSEs at grade A*-G (including English and maths)	88.1%	85.60%	87.20%	90.20%	89.30%	85%	84%	89%	88%	89% (88%)	90%	90%	on target
BV38	percentage pupils achieving 5 or more GCSEs at grades A* - C or equivalent	39.5%	46.20%	49.40%	56.20%	56.20%	40%	41.6%	60%	49%	51.5% (50%)	53% (52%)	54%	on target
local	percentage of Black Caribbean pupils achieving 5 A*-C GCSE	31.3%	35.80%	41.10%						40%	44% (46%)	49%	55%	on target
<b>School absence and exclusions</b>														
BV45	percentage of half days missed due to absence in secondary schools	8.7%	8.40%	8.40%	7.56%	7.46%	9.40%	8.20%	7.70%	7%	6.7% (7%)	6.5% (6.9%)	6.40%	way off target

## Children and young people

Best value ref no.	Indicator	Lewisham performance			Comparative performance in other areas					Lewisham targets				Performance against target
		Actual 2003/04	Actual 2004/05	Actual 2005/06	Best England quartile 04/05	Best London quartile 04/05	Greenwich 04/05	Southwark 04/05	Bromley 04/05	Target 2005/06	Target 2006/07	Target 2007/08	Target 2008/09	
BV46	percentage of half days missed due to absence in primary schools	6.6%	5.8%	5.8%	5.14%	5.71%	6.8%	6.3%	5.8%	5.3%	5%	4.9% (5%)	4.8%	off target
BV44	no of pupils permanently excluded during the year from all schools maintained by the LEA per 1,000 pupils at all maintained schools	1.27	0.60	0.70	0.84	0.88	2.50	1.93	1.30	0.57	0.63 (0.5)	0.60	0.54	way off target
local	total number of pupils permanently excluded	44	21	23						20	21 (20)	20	18	way off target
<b>School Meals</b>														
Local	Secondary schools paid meals take up		New for 2005/6	21%						30%	35%	40%	42%	way off target
	Secondary schools free meals take up		New for 2005/6	83%						65%	84% (75%)	85% (75%)	86%	well exceeds target
	Primary schools paid meals take up		New for 2005/6	41%						45%	50%	55%	56%	off target
	Primary schools free meals take up		New for 2005/6	96%						70%	97% (75%)	98% (75%)	99%	well exceeds target
<b>Special educational needs and child support</b>														
local	pupils with statements of special educational need as a percentage of all children	2.2%	2%	2.4%						2%	2.2%	2%	1.9%	way off target
local	number of statements issued during the year per 1,000 children	2.17	0.60	2.10						1.80	1.9 (1.8)	1.70	1.70	way off target
BV43a	percentage SEN statements prepared within 18 weeks excluding those affected by "exceptions to the rule" under the SEN Code of Practice	59.70%	100%	94.3%	100%	100%	99%	88.60%	92.40%	100%	100%	100%	100%	off target

## Children and young people

Best value ref no.	Indicator	Lewisham performance			Comparative performance in other areas					Lewisham targets				Performance against target
		Actual 2003/04	Actual 2004/05	Actual 2005/06	Best England quartile 04/05	Best London quartile 04/05	Greenwich 04/05	Southwark 04/05	Bromley 04/05	Target 2005/06	Target 2006/07	Target 2007/08	Target 2008/09	
BV43b	percentage of SEN statements prepared within 18 weeks including those affected by "exceptions to the rule" under the SEN Code of Practice	35.80%	58.10%	61.60%	90.20%	92.20%	97%	60.80%	66.90%	65%	70%	72% (75%)	74%	off target
local	percentage of educational psychologists' advice prepared within six weeks (statutory target)	100%	0%	97.10%						100%	100%	100%	100%	on target
<b>Youth service</b>														
BV221a	percentage of young people aged 13-19 gaining a recorded outcome compared to the percentage of young people in the local authority areas		new for 2005/06	55.80%						baseline year target not required	63%	64%	64%	
BV221b	percentage of young people aged 13-19 gaining an accredited outcome compared to the percentage of young people in the local authority area		new for 2005/06	38.20%						baseline year target not required	30%	31%	32%	
<b>Child protection</b>														
BV162	percentage of children on the child protection register reviewed when due (PAF C20)	100%	99.3%	99.4%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	on target
PAF	percentage of children who had been de-registered during the year who had been on the child protection register for more than 2 years (PAF C21 )	9.40%	3.60%	9.30%						10%	7% (10%)	7% (10%)	7%	exceeds target
PAF	percentage of children registered during the year who had previously been on the child protection register (PAF A3)	7.60%	8.80%	12.50%						10%	10%	10%	10%	way off target

## Children and young people

Best value ref no.	Indicator	Lewisham performance			Comparative performance in other areas					Lewisham targets				Performance against target
		Actual 2003/04	Actual 2004/05	Actual 2005/06	Best England quartile 04/05	Best London quartile 04/05	Greenwich 04/05	Southwark 04/05	Bromley 04/05	Target 2005/06	Target 2006/07	Target 2007/08	Target 2008/09	
<b>Children looked after</b>														
BV163	number of looked after children adopted in year as percentage of all children looked after for 6+ months at year end (PAF C23)	9%	3%	9.3%	9.50%	6.70%	10.80%	5.80%	9.60%	7%	5.8%	7%	6%	well exceeds target
PAF	percentage of children looked after who have been looked after for 4 years or more that have remained in the same placement for 2 years or more (PAF D35)			40.8%						38.5%	46%	51%	60%	exceeds target
BV49	percentage of children looked after at 31st March with three or more placements during the year (PAF A1)	11.80%	13.70%	12.60%			14.30%	10.70%	15.90%	12%	11.5%	11%	11%	on target
local	percentage of children looked after with a named allocated worker	95.60%	96.10%	95.70%						100%	100%	100%	100%	on target
local	percentage of children looked after whose initial assessments were completed within 7 working days	54.90%	54.80%	54.70%						60%	70%	80%	85%	off target
local	percentage of children looked after whose core assessments completed within 35 working days	64.8%	54.70%	82.50%						60%	85%	85%	85%	well exceeds target
local	number of children looked after whose cases were reviewed on time	91.30%	90.10%	94.5%						92%	to be deleted			on target
PAF	Percentage of children looked after during the year whose cases were reviewed on time (nb ALL reviews during the year have to be on time to count as 'reviewed on time' (PAF CF/C68)		new for 2005/06	79.3%						87%	95%	97%	99%	off target

## Children and young people

Best value ref no.	Indicator	Lewisham performance			Comparative performance in other areas					Lewisham targets				Performance against target
		Actual 2003/04	Actual 2004/05	Actual 2005/06	Best England quartile 04/05	Best London quartile 04/05	Greenwich 04/05	Southwark 04/05	Bromley 04/05	Target 2005/06	Target 2006/07	Target 2007/08	Target 2008/09	
PAF	Percentage of children looked after during the year who communicated their views at ALL their statutory reviews (PAF CF/C63)		new for 2005/06	77.8%						90%	95%	95%	95%	way off target
<b>Care leavers</b>														
BV50	percentage of young people leaving care aged 16 or over with at least 1 GCSE at grades A*-G or GNVQ (interface indicator with education services) (PAF A2)	36.60%	51.30%	45.20%	58%	56%	35%	48%	37%	75%	50% (75%)	54% (75%)	58%	way off target
BV161	ratio of young people looked after on 1st April aged 16 who are engaged in education, training or are employed at the age of 19 to the percentage of all 19 year olds in the population who were engaged in employment, education or training (PAF A4)	amended for 2004/05	0.78	0.91	0.84	0.83	1.01	0.64	0.84	0.80	0.86 (0.82)	0.89 (0.84)	0.89	well exceeds target
<b>Teenage Pregnancy</b>														
BV197	changes in number of conceptions to females aged under 18, resident in an area, per 1,000 females aged 15-17 resident in the area, compared with the baseline year in 1998	-6%	-7.50%	-12.30%	-17.20%	-11.40%	-10.7%	0.90%	21.50%	-22.20%	-22%	-35%	-55%	way off target

PAF = Department of Health performance assessment framework reference figures in brackets denote previous targets